

























**Compliance with Ethical Standards****Authors' Contributions**

AD: Writing – original draft, Investigation, Formal Analysis.

AA: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

**Ethical Approval**

For this type of study, formal consent is not required.

**Funding**

Not applicable.

**Data Availability**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on request.

**Supplementary Materials**

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.61326/actanatsci.v5i2.287>.

**REFERENCES**

- Açık, A. (2021). Does maritime transport network converge? Evidence from EU countries. *Acta Natura et Scientia*, 2(2), 86-93. <https://doi.org/10.29329/actanatsci.2021.350.01>
- Açık, A., & Atacan, C. (2023). Analyzing the convergence of transport network connectivity: Case for Türkiye and its neighbors. *Oasis*, 39, 189-212. <https://doi.org/10.18601/16577558.n39.11>
- Akpa, E. O. (2022). Global trade: Testing persistence in global shipping based on the liner shipping connectivity index (LSCI). *South-Eastern Europe Journal of Economics*, 20(2), 127-139.
- Atacan, C., Kayıran, B., & Açık, A. (2022). Impact of liner shipping connectivity on container traffic in Turkish ports. *Transactions on Maritime Science*, 11(2), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.7225/toms.v11.n02.001>

- Ayesu, E. K., Sakyi, D., & Darku, A. B. (2023). Seaport efficiency, port throughput, and economic growth in Africa. *Maritime Economics & Logistics*, 25(3), 479-498. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41278-022-00252-8>
- Bai, J., & Kao, C. (2006). On the estimation and inference of a panel cointegration model with cross-sectional dependence. *Contributions to Economic Analysis*, 274, 3-30. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0573-8555\(06\)74001-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0573-8555(06)74001-9)
- Baltagi, B. H., Feng, Q., & Kao, C. (2012). A Lagrange multiplier test for cross-sectional dependence in a fixed effects panel data model. *Journal of Econometrics*, 170, 164-177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2012.04.004>
- Breusch, T., & Pagan, A. (1980). The Lagrange multiplier test and its application to model specification in econometrics. *Review of Economic Studies*, 47, 239-253. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2297111>
- Canbay, Ş. (2024). The analysis of relationships between global shipping networks and foreign trade volumes in developing countries. *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 17, 101242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cstp.2024.101242>
- Chen, Y.-C., & Hasan, M. K. (2023). Impacts of liner shipping connectivity and global competitiveness on logistics performance: The mediating role of the quality of port and infrastructure. *Transport*, 164(84142), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2018.1479629>
- Das, P. (2019). *Econometrics in theory and practice: Analysis of cross section, time series and panel data with Stata 15.1*. Springer.
- Del Rosal, I. (2023). Trade effects of liner shipping across world regions. *Maritime Business Review*, 9(1), 2-16. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MABR-06-2023-0040>
- Del Rosal, I., & Moura, T. G. Z. (2022). The effect of shipping connectivity on seaborne containerised export flows. *Transport Policy*, 118, 143-151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2022.01.020>

- Fugazza, M., & Hoffmann, J. (2017). Liner shipping connectivity as determinant of trade. *Journal of Shipping and Trade*, 2(1), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41072-017-0001-5>
- Im, K. S., Pesaran, M. H., & Shin, Y. (2003). Testing for unit roots in heterogeneous panels. *Journal of Econometrics*, 115(1), 53-74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076\(03\)00092-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076(03)00092-7)
- Jouili, T. A. (2019). Determinants of liner shipping connectivity. *International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences*, 6(11), 5-10. <https://doi.org/10.21833/ijaas.2019.11.002>
- Juodis, A., Karavias, Y., & Sarafidis, V. (2021). A homogeneous approach to testing for Granger non-causality in heterogeneous panels. *Empirical Economics*, 60, 93-112. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00181-020-01970-9>
- Knox, P., Agnew, J., & McCarthy, L. (2014). *The geography of the world economy*. Routledge.
- Miller, R., Gostomski, E., & Nowosielski, T. (2023). *Containerization in maritime transport: contemporary trends and challenges*. CRC Press.
- Mishra, V. K., Dutta, B., Goh, M., Figueira, J. R., & Greco, S. (2021). A robust ranking of maritime connectivity: Revisiting UNCTAD's liner shipping connectivity index (LSCI). *Maritime Economics & Logistics*, 23(3), 424-443. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41278-021-00185-8>
- Myrdal, G. (1957). *Economic theory and underdeveloped regions*. University Paperbacks, Methuen.
- Nadarajan, D., Ahmed, S. A. M., & Noor, N. F. M. (2023). Seaport network efficiency measurement using triangular and trapezoidal fuzzy data envelopment analyses with liner shipping connectivity index output. *Mathematics*, 11(6), 1454. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11061454>
- Nazlioglu, S., Lebe, F., & Kayhan, S. (2011). Nuclear energy consumption and economic growth in OECD countries: Cross-sectionally dependent heterogeneous panel causality analysis. *Energy Policy*, 39(10), 6615-6621. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.08.007>
- Notteboom, T., Pallis, A., & Rodrigue, J. P. (2022). *Port economics, management and policy*. Routledge.
- Pesaran, M. H. (2004). General diagnostic tests for cross section dependence in panels. *Cambridge Working Papers in Economics No. 0435*. University of Cambridge, Faculty of Economics.
- Reza, M., Suthiwartnarueput, K., & Pornchaiwiseskul, P. (2015). Liner shipping connectivity and international trade in maritime Southeast Asian countries. *Journal of International Logistics and Trade*, 13(3), 43-74. <https://doi.org/10.24006/jilt.2015.13.3.43>
- Şeker, A. (2020). The impacts of liner shipping connectivity and economic growth on international trade: Case of European countries and Turkey. In G. Ç. Ceyhun (Ed.), *Handbook of research on the applications of international transportation and logistics for world trade* (pp. 139-150). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-1397-2.ch008>
- Smith, L. V., Leybourne, S., Kim, T. H., & Newbold, P. (2004). More powerful panel data unit root tests with an application to mean reversion in real exchange rates. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 19(2), 147-170. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jae.723>
- Söderbom, M., Markus, F. T. W., Quinn, S., & Zeitlin, A. (2015). *Empirical development economics*. Routledge.
- Statista (2024). Capacity of container ships in seaborne trade from 1980 to 2023. Retrieved on October 11, 2024, from <https://www.statista.com/statistics/267603/capacity-of-container-ships-in-the-global-seaborne-trade/>
- Taşova, U. (2023). *The dictionary of maritime*. Entropol.
- UNCTAD. (2024). Container port throughput, annual. Retrieved on August 10, 2024, from <https://unctadstat.unctad.org/datacentre/dataviewer/US.ContPortThroughput>
- World Bank. (2024a). Container port traffic (TEU: 20-foot equivalent units). Retrieved on August 10, 2024, from <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/IS.SHP.GOOD.TU>

World Bank. (2024b). Liner shipping connectivity index (maximum value in 2004 = 100). Retrieved on August 10, 2024, from <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/IS.SHP.GCNW.XQ>

Xiao, J., Karavias, Y., Juodis, A., Sarafidis, V., & Ditzen, J. (2023). Improved tests for Granger noncausality in panel data. *The Stata Journal*, 23(1), 230-242. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1536867X231162034>